Recall: - Let $(K, \delta) \subset L$. Then $\delta$ could be extended to a derivation on $L$. And the extension is unique iff $L$ is algebraic over $K$. (If $\alpha \in\left(L,{ }^{\prime}\right)$ is algebraic over the constant field of $K$, then $\alpha^{\prime}=0$ )

- Let $K \subseteq L \subseteq M$ be differential fields. Then $M$ is differential algebraic over $K \Leftrightarrow M$ is differential algebraic over $L$ and $L$ is differential algebraic over $K$.
- Nonvanishing theorem of differential polynomials: Let $K$ be a non-constant differential field of characteristic 0 . If $G$ is a nonzero differential polynomial in $K\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$, there exist elements $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}$ in $K$ such that $G\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right) \neq 0$. In particular, if $0 \neq G \in K\{y\}$ is of order $r$ and $\xi \in K$ is a nonconstant, there exists

$$
\eta=c_{0}+c_{1} \xi+\cdots+c_{r} \xi^{r}
$$

where all the $c_{i}$ 's are constants in $K$, satisfying $G(\eta) \neq 0$.

Now, we are ready to show that when $(K, \delta)$ is a nonconstant differential field, every finitely generated differential algebraic extension field of $K$ is generated by a single element.
Theorem 4.2.3 (Differential Primitive Element Theorem). Let $(K, \delta)$ be a non-constant differential field of characteristic 0 (i.e., $\exists b \in K, \delta(b) \neq 0)$. Assume $K\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle$ is differential algebraic over $K$. Then $\exists \xi \in K\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle$ s.t. $K\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle=K\langle\xi\rangle$.

Proof. It suffices to show that if $\gamma, \beta$ are differential algebraic over $K$, then $\exists e \in K$ s.t.

$$
K\langle\gamma, \beta\rangle=K\langle\gamma+e \beta\rangle .
$$

Introduce a new differential indeterminate $t$ over $K\langle\gamma, \beta\rangle$ and consider $\gamma+t \beta \in K\langle t\rangle\langle\gamma, \beta\rangle$. By Lemma 4.1.6, $\gamma+t \beta$ is differential algebraic over $K\langle t\rangle$. Consider the prime differential ideal $\mathbb{I}(\gamma+$ $t \beta) \subseteq K\langle t\rangle\{y\}$ and suppose $A(y) \in K\langle t\rangle\{y\}$ is a characteristic set of $\mathbb{I}(\gamma+t \beta)$. Then $A(\gamma+t \beta)=0$ but $\mathrm{S}_{A}(\gamma+t \beta) \neq 0$. Assume $\operatorname{ord}(A)=s$. Clearing denominators when necessary, we can take $A \in K\{t, y\}$ and write $A(t, y)$ for convenience.

Now we have $A(t, \gamma+t \beta)=0$ but $\frac{\partial A}{\partial y^{(s)}}(t, \gamma+t \beta) \neq 0$. Note that

$$
\frac{\partial\left((\gamma+t \beta)^{(k)}\right)}{\partial t^{(s)}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0, & k<s \\
\beta, & k=s
\end{array} \quad \text { for } k \leq s .\right.
$$

Take the partial derivative of $A(t, \gamma+t \beta)=0$ w.r.t. $t^{(s)}$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial A}{\partial t^{(s)}}(t, \gamma+t \beta)+\beta \cdot \frac{\partial A}{\partial y^{(s)}}(t, \gamma+t \beta)=0 .
$$

Since $\frac{\partial A}{\partial y^{(s)}}(t, \gamma+t \beta) \neq 0$ belongs to $K\langle\gamma, \beta\rangle\{t\}$, by the proof of Lemma 4.2.2, $\exists e \in K$ s.t. $\frac{\partial A}{\partial y^{(s)}}(e, \gamma+$ $e \beta) \neq 0$. Thus, $\beta=-\frac{\frac{\partial A}{\partial A(s)}(e, \gamma+e \beta)}{\partial \partial^{\partial}(s)}(e, \gamma+e \beta) \quad \in K\langle\gamma+e \beta\rangle$ and $K\langle\gamma, \beta\rangle=K\langle\gamma+e \beta\rangle$ follows.

Corollary 4.2.4. Let $(K, \delta)$ be a non-constant differential field. Let $K\left\langle\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right\rangle$ be a differential algebraic extension field of $K$. Then $\exists e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in K$ s.t. $K\left\langle\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right\rangle=K\left\langle e_{1} \eta_{1}+\cdots+e_{n} \eta_{n}\right\rangle$.

Remark: G. Pogudin proved the differential primitive theorem for the case

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1) K^{\prime}=\{0\} ; \\
(2) K\left\langle\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right\rangle \text { has a nonconstant }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

("The primitive element theorem for differential fields with zero derivation on the ground field. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 4035-4041, 2015.")

### 4.3 Differential transcendence bases

Let $R$ be a differential ring. Elements $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ in a differential over-ring $S$ of $R$ are called differentially algebraically dependent over $R$ if there exists a nonzero $G \in R\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$ s.t. $G\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)=0$. Otherwise, $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are called differentially ( $\delta$-) algebraically independent over $R$. A subset of $S$ is called $\delta$-algebraically independent over $R$ if all its subsets are $\delta$ algebraically independent over $R$.

Definition 4.3.1. Let $K \subseteq L$ be differential fields and $A \subseteq L$. An element $b \in L$ is called $\delta$ algebraically dependent on $A$ (over $K$ ) if $b$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle A\rangle$. A subset $B$ of $L$ is called $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $A$ (over $K$ ) if every element of $B$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $A$.

Since $K$ is our fixed base differential field, for simplicity, we usually omit "over $K$ ".
Lemma 4.3.2. Let $K \subseteq L$ be an extension of $\delta$-fields, $A \subseteq L$ and $b \in L$. Then $b$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $A$ if and only if $\exists f \in K\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, z\right\}$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$ such that $f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, z\right) \neq 0$ and $f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b\right)=0$.

Proof. Assume $b$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $A$. Then by definition, $b$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle A\rangle$, so $\exists$ a nonzero $g \in K\langle A\rangle\{z\}$ s.t. $g(b)=0$. Let $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \subseteq A$ be the subset appearing effectively in the coefficients of $g$. After multiplying $g$ by an appropriate element from $K\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$, we can assume $g \in K\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, z\right\}$. Thus, this $g\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, z\right)$ satisfies the desired property. The converse is obvious.

Lemma 4.3.3. Let $K \subseteq L$ be an extension of $\delta$-fields and $A$ be a subset of $L$ which is $\delta$-algebraically independent over $K$. Let $b \in L$. If $A, b$ are $\delta$-algebraically dependent over $K$, then $b$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle A\rangle$.

Proof. Since $A, b$ are $\delta$-algebraically dependent over $K$, then there exists a nonzero differential polynomial $f \in K\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, z\right\}$ s.t. $f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b\right)=0$ for some $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$. Since $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are $\delta$-algebraically independent over $K, f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, z\right) \neq 0$. Thus, $b$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle A\rangle$.

Lemma 4.3.4 (Transitivity of $\delta$-algebraic dependence). Let $(K, \delta) \subseteq(L, \delta)$ and $A, B, C \subseteq L$. If $A$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $B$ and $B$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $C$, then $A$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $C$.

Proof. By the assumption, $K\langle B\rangle\langle A\rangle$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle B\rangle$ and $K\langle C\rangle\langle B\rangle$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle C\rangle$. By Lemma 4.1.7, $K\langle C, B, A\rangle$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle C\rangle$. Thus, each element of $A$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle C\rangle$.

Lemma 4.3.5 (The exchange property). Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b$ be elements from a $\delta$-extension field of $K$. If $b$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ but not on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$, then $a_{n}$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b$.

Proof. Since $b$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$, by Lemma 4.3.2, there exists a nonzero $g \in K\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, z\right\}$ s.t. $g\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, z\right) \neq 0$ and $g\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b\right)=0$. Regard $g$ as a univariate $\delta$-polynomial in $y_{n}$ with coefficients from $K\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, z\right\}$, i.e., $g=\sum_{i} g_{i}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, z\right) M_{i}\left(y_{n}\right)$ where the $M_{i}\left(y_{n}\right)$ are distinct $\delta$-monomials. Then there exists $i_{0}$ s.t. $g_{i_{0}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, z\right) \neq 0$, for otherwise, we would get $g\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n}, z\right)=0$. Since $b$ is not $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, g_{i_{0}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b\right) \neq 0$. So $g\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, y_{n}, b\right) \neq 0$ and consequently, $a_{n}$ is $\delta$ algebraically dependent on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b$.

Proposition 4.3.6. Let $K \subseteq L$ be an extension of $\delta$-fields and $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}, B=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}$ be two subsets of $L$. Assume that 1) $A$ is $\delta$-algebraically independent over $K$ and 2) $A$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $B$. Then $n \leq m$.

Proof. Let $r=|A \cap B|$. If $r=n$, i.e., $A \subseteq B$, then we are done. Now assume $r<n$ and write $B=a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b_{r+1}, \ldots, b_{m}$. Since $a_{r+1}$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b_{r+1}, \ldots, b_{m}$ but not on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$, there will be a $b_{j}(r+1 \leq j \leq m)$ s.t. $a_{r+1}$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b_{r+1}, \ldots, b_{j}$ but not $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b_{r+1}, \ldots, b_{j-1}$. Bt the exchange property (Lemma 4.3.5), $b_{j}$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b_{r+1}, \ldots, b_{j-1}, a_{r+1}$, and thus $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $B_{1}:=\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{j}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{a_{r+1}\right\}$. Therefore, $B$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $B_{1}$. Since $A$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $B$, by Lemma 4.2.4, $A$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $B_{1}$. Note that $\left|B_{1}\right|=m$ and $\left|A \cap B_{1}\right|=r+1$. Continuing in this way, we will eventually get some $B_{n-r}$ with $\left|A \cap B_{n-r}\right|=n$, i.e., $A \subseteq B_{n-r}$. So $n \leq m$.

Definition 4.3.7. Let $(K, \delta) \subseteq(L, \delta)$. A subset $A$ of $L$ is called a $\delta$-transcendence basis of $L$ over $K$ if 1) $A$ is $\delta$-algebraically independent over $K$ and 2) $L$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle A\rangle$.

By the size of a set, we mean its cardinality if the set is finite, and $\infty$ otherwise.
Theorem 4.3.8. Let $(K, \delta) \subseteq(L, \delta)$. Then every $\delta$-generating set of $L \supseteq K$ contains a $\delta$ transcendence basis of $L$ over $K$. In particular, there exists a $\delta$-transcendence basis of $L$ over $K$. Moreover, any two $\delta$-transcendence bases of $L$ over $K$ are of the same size.

Proof. Let $M$ be a $\delta$-generating set of $L$ over $K$, i.e., $L=K\langle M\rangle$. Let

$$
N=\{S \subseteq M \mid S \text { is } \delta \text {-algebraically independent over } K\} \text {. }
$$

Then $\emptyset \in N \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, the union of every chain of elements in $N$ is again in $N$. So by Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal element $A$ in $N$.

Claim: $A$ is a $\delta$-transcendence basis of $L$ over $K$.
We now show the claim. For any $a \in M, a, A$ are $\delta$-algebraically dependent over $K$. By Lemma 4.3.3, $a$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle A\rangle$, so $M$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle A\rangle$. And by Lemma 4.1.6, $L=K\langle M\rangle$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle A\rangle$. Thus, $A \subseteq M$ is a $\delta$-transcendence basis of $L$ over $K$.

Now suppose $A$ and $B$ are both $\delta$-transcendence bases of $L$ over $K$. By symmetry, it suffices to show that the size of $A \geq$ the size of $B$. If $A$ is an infinite set, it is automatically valid. So we may assume $A$ is finite. Let $B_{1}$ be any finite subset of $B$. Since $A$ is a $\delta$-transcendence basis of $L$ over $K$, each element of $B_{1}$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle A\rangle$, and $B_{1}$ is $\delta$-algebraically dependent on $A$. By Proposition 4.3.6, $\left|B_{1}\right| \leq|A|$. Thus, $|B| \leq|A|$.

Corollary 4.3.9. Let $(K, \delta) \subseteq(L, \delta)$ and $L=K\langle M\rangle$. If $A$ is a maximal $\delta$-algebraically independent subset of $M$, then $A$ is a $\delta$-transcendence basis of $L$ over $K$.

Theorem 4.3.8 guarantees we can make the following definition:
Definition 4.3.10. Let $(K, \delta) \subseteq(L, \delta)$. The size of a $\delta$-transcendence basis of $L$ over $K$ is called the $\delta$-transcendence degree of $L$ over $K$. It is denoted by $\delta$ - $\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(L / K)$.

Corollary 4.3.11. Let $(K, \delta) \subseteq(L, \delta)$ and $L=K\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$. Then $\delta-\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(L / K) \leq n$, and the $\delta$-transcendence degree of a finitely $\delta$-generated $\delta$-field extension is finite.

Proof. It is clear from Corollary 4.3.9.

Corollary 4.3.12. Let $(K, \delta) \subseteq(L, \delta)$. If $L$ contains $n$ number of $\delta$-independent elements, then $n \leq \delta$-tr.deg $(L / K)$. In fact,

$$
\delta-\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(L / K)=\sup \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in L \text { differentially algebraically independent over } K\right\} .
$$

Proof. Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in L$ be $\delta$-algebraically independent over $K$. We can enlarge $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ to a $\delta$-generating set $B$ of $L$ over $K$. Then $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ is contained in a maximal $\delta$-algebraically independent subset $A^{\prime} \subseteq B$. By Corollary 4.3.9, $A^{\prime}$ is a $\delta$-transcendence basis of $L$ over $K$. Thus, $n \leq \delta$-tr.deg $(L / K)$ and also
$\sup \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in L\right.$ that are $\delta$-algebraically independent over $\left.K\right\} \leq \delta$ - $\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(L / K)$.
The reverse estimate is clear, for a $\delta$-transcendence basis is $\delta$-algebraically independent over $K$.
Theorem 4.3.13. Let $K \subseteq L \subseteq M$ be $\delta$-fields. Then

$$
\delta-\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(M / K)=\delta-\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(M / L)+\delta-\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(L / K)
$$

(Here, $\infty+a(\infty)=\infty)$.
Proof. Let $A$ be a transcendence basis of $L$ over $K$ and $B$ a $\delta$-transcendence basis of $M$ over $L$.
Claim: $A \cup B$ is a $\delta$-transcendence basis of $M$ over $K$.
First, since $B$ is $\delta$-algebraically independent over $K\langle A\rangle(\subseteq L), A \cup B$ is $\delta$-algebraically independent over $K$. It remains to show $M$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle A, B\rangle$. Since each element of $M$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $L\langle B\rangle$ and each element of $L$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle A\rangle, M$ is $\delta$-algebraic over $K\langle A, B\rangle$. Thus, $A \cup B$ is a $\delta$-transcendence basis of $M$ over $K$ and $A \cap B=\emptyset$ implies that $\delta$-tr.deg $(M / K)=$ $\delta$-tr.deg $(M / L)+\delta$-tr.deg $(L / K)$.

Adjoining the differential primitive element theorem, we have
Proposition 4.3.14. Let $L=K\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ and suppose $K$ contains a nonconstant element in the case $d=\delta-\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(L / K)=0$. Then $L$ is $\delta$-generated by no more than $d+1$ elements.

Proof. In the case $d=0$, this is the differential primitive element theorem. Assume $d>0$. Then $\exists\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{d}\right\} \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ s.t. $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{d}$ is a $\delta$-transcendence basis of $L$ over $K$, and denote the others by $\xi_{d+1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$. Then by the differential primitive element theorem, there exist $a_{i} \in$ $K\left\langle\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{d}\right\rangle$ s.t. $L=K\left\langle\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{d}\right\rangle\left\langle\xi_{d+1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right\rangle=K\left\langle\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{d}\right\rangle\left\langle a_{d+1} \xi_{d+1}+\cdots+a_{n} \xi_{n}\right\rangle .(d>0 \Rightarrow$ $K\left\langle\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{d}\right\rangle$ is a non-constant $\delta$-field).

